

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,750	07/06/2001	Colin Davies	02888	4564
75	90 02/03/2003			
Michele J. Young			EXAMINER	
Salter & Michae 321 South Main	****		SNOW, WALTER E	
Providence, RI	02903-7128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2862	
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/900,750

Applicant

**Davies** 

Examiner

Walter E.Snow

Art Unit 2862



The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply withi  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will app  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caus  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 18	, 2002			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This a	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-22</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 🔯 Claim(s) 1-17 and 21	is/are rejected.			
7) 💢 Claim(s) <u>18-20 and 22</u>	is/are objected to.			
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents t	nave been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.				
application from the International Bu	·			
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	•			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes				
a) The translation of the foreign language provision				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 O.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				

Application/Control Number: 09/900,750 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

1. Claims 1-16 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-16 are functional since insufficient structure has been recited to support the two modes

of operation. In claim 21 "the error amplifier" lacks antecedent basis.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sikara since the

preamble to the claim is considered as statement of intended use and lends no patentable weight

to the claim.

4. Claims 18-20 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Snow/ek

WALTER E. SNOW

01/28/03